

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



June 2010 No 508

LAUNCESTON CONSERVATIVE SPEAKERS CLUB
A WONDERFUL MEETING COMING UP
INTERNATIONAL SPEAKERS – INTERSTATE VISITORS
AMPLE TIME FOR DISCUSSION – BE THERE –
WEDNESDAY 26 MAY 2010
Two American Authors will be our speakers this month:

AMELIA AREMIA and MARY MAXWELL

Amelia Aremia grew up in Pennsylvania, married a New Yorker, lived in New York for 23 years, and now comes to us from Raleigh, North Carolina. She began getting involved in politics in 1968 when George Wallace ran for president on a new third party ticket. Recently she has written a book on a very important subject: **THE GROWING THREAT TO FREEDOM IN THE UNITED STATES** a topic of growing importance in Australia also. The second speaker will be **Dr Mary Maxwell** who grew up in Boston. She married an Australian, George Maxwell and they lived very happily in Adelaide. Mary has a PhD in Politics and is a final-year law student. She has written several books and her new one, to be published in September, has the intriguing title: **PROSECUTION FOR TREASON.**



ALSO PRESENT IN THE AUDIENCE WILL BE THE PAST
AND PRESENT DIRECTORS OF THE ADELAIDE INSTITUTE – Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

DR FREDRICK TOBEN and MR PETER HARTUNG

We welcome all four guests to Tasmania and look forward to a most interesting evening

WEDNESDAY 26 MAY 2010 at 7.30 pm

MAX FRY MEMEORIAL HALL, TREVALLYN, LAUNCESTON



- per Tiger Airways from Adelaide to Launceston – Amelia Aremia mixing with members of the audience -



Both Amelia Aremia and Mary Maxwell delivered a thought-provoking talk on how to save the US Constitution from being corrupted by politicians who are following their own self-interest and not the people's agenda.



Fredrick Töben presents Mrs Olga Scully with a copy of *The Barnes Review*, and Amelia Aremia presents Mrs Scully with a copy of her two books.

AMELIA AREMIA: Growing Threats to Freedom in the USA

1. Gradual changes seldom make much impression upon those who have lived with them, yet these same changes when visited by someone who had left, and then after many years, returned and suddenly exposed to the conditions of the present, amounts to a shattering revolution for he now sees all too clearly the full extent of the transformation, for modern man sees all things as being in a state of flux – either coming or going.
2. The United States, at 234 years, is still considered a young nation. Many historians predicted its form of government would last 600 years.
3. Thomas Jefferson said, "Men are seekers of power, bind them to the laws of a Constitution." The founding fathers gave the early colonists a Constitutional Republic – the greatest form of government that ever guaranteed the widest individual freedoms to the people. Most of these men had experienced royal tyranny that caused an exodus from European countries to the shores of America. The governments of many European nations have been fatal to liberty, to law and order to respect authority, all leading to a state of chaos from which a democracy is born, that eventually produce a dictatorial tyranny, turned to the US constitution and used it as a model for their own constitutional governments.
4. Many Greek philosophers, including Aristotle, and Plato, in his Republic, conceived of Democracy that should astound most freedom loving people of its meaning, for the word is derived from two Greek words---demo meaning mob and cracy meaning rule....mob rule is what democracy

is that finally turns into a revolution, which in turn, leads to a dictatorial form of government. Democracy and Freedom are two diametrically opposed words.

5. Since the Civil War the Constitution has been undergoing a continuous battle for its life. It is being abused through misrepresentation, twisted interruptions, and downright ignored, mostly through presidential executive orders that usurp the check and balance systems of the three branches of government. The biggest argument against the Constitution is that it has become a dead document. However, it is still a living, viable document in the fact that it can be amended, which over the years it has been 36 times.

6. "Who controls the past controls the future," George Orwell said. Today we see the mass media in control of public information, thereby controlling public opinion of many not realizing that past events and history have been eliminated or destroyed.

7. In a dictatorship no profession is more closely regimented than teaching. Under such a regime, teachers become a tool or servant of the power elite in control of the central government, from whom they receive their orders on what to teach and how to teach certain subjects.

8. Stifled by such actions as this, the spirit of creative talent dies and the quest for truth grinds to a shuddering halt. The people should never forget that they are the ultimate guardians of their own liberties; for in every government on earth, history shows some traces of human weakness, some germ of corruption.

***What government is the best?
That which teaches us to govern ourselves.***

- J W von Goethe, 28.8.1749–22.03.1832

9. In the last 90 years, or more, began the twilight of individual freedoms and liberties as the political pendulum started its swing from the Constitutional Republic towards a totalitarian bureaucratic form of government to a "Democracy." And at some point the change will become irreversible. The most drastic changes began in 1913 with the establishment of the Federal Reserve System that usurped Congress of its monetary powers by giving them to private bankers. And then the Federal Income Tax gave the Federal government the right to taxation without approval of the people. A few amendments have changed the Constitution from a republic, and at some point as the loss of liberty is preceded by the loss of morality in the people's collective soul, for leading totalitarian dictatorships are either immoral or amoral. For only freemen can afford to guide themselves by a moral code.

10. In 1929 the shattering demoralizing impact of the Great Depression racing through the land, began an avalanche of distress that collapsed almost immediately state efforts to stem the flow of Federal intervention on a scale beyond anything in the past and became a fixed pattern of American life through the aggrandizement of a strong, large central government creating an immense bureaucracy through a multitude of public works, welfare programs, aid programs to state and local governments by returning some of the tax money taken from the states in the first place. Then government administrated business enterprises became tax users and not tax payers. In 1947 came the Foreign Aid program. The Library of Congress, in the May 1982 Congressional record computed the total cost of Foreign Aid until 1980 at 2.3 trillion dollars. Taxing the American people to provide funds for foreign aid grants is unconstitutional.

11. A short reflection shows that the free Industrial nations, if we still are, are hated by the non-western nations who envy our freedoms and hate our culture, will, in a world government, also have one vote. This hasn't escaped the bureaucratic masters gaining leadership of the planet Earth as it calls for no sacrifices on their part, they foresee the

luxury and glory that will be theirs as they now freely jet about the world to gather together and oversee their grand projects being carried out by the blind masses of mankind, for all humanity will be one gigantic machine doing their bidding and making their one- world plan succeed through the bloody unremitting terror they have created---after which their final dictatorship will maintain its undisputed rule- for to them, the ends justifies the means.

12. As a people we must be filled with a burning moral indignation against the factors subversive of our political traditions. The crusade must reach the remote corners of the US and the free world to enlist the fullest support of the people.

13. In the modern world, a cause without a voice cannot succeed. The logic is that the people no longer have anything to say about war and peace. They only have to die for it. The American mind has been conditioned to believe that we no longer can separate foreign and domestic policies.

14. To defend the Constitutional Republic from all enemies, foreign or domestic, government is not serving the US Constitution. Our military has not served the country or the constitution since 1776, but only the elitists, without question. The few who did were silenced. So who deserves a traitor's death?

15. The federal government now has its big foot inside the lives of a once free people who have been deluded by the prospects of material betterment through wealth wrung from the richer states and the wealthy. Beholden to the federal government they saw this as an equalization of money and opportunity through the redistribution of tax income from the states---vindicating the federal government by its generous outpour of money for public schools, health care, highways and other public works.

16. Our safety, our liberty depends upon preserving the Constitution of the United States. The people are the rightful masters of both Congress and the Courts, not to overthrow the Constitution, but to overthrow the men and women who pervert the Constitution.

17. A return to the concept of a Constitutional Republic is a vital priority in the battle to preserve America's freedom. Political freedom and the Constitution are so entwined that the preservation of one insures the salvation of the other.

... and now the lighter side of work....

... at the Launceston Casino ...



- dining, then feeding the ducks with food provided by the Casino -



- and picking up a duck for good luck. Then it's off to Hobart with a stop at Campbelltown's historic court house still used today as a Magistrates' Court. Amelia sentences Peter Hartung and Fredrick Töben, and because she herself is honest,



she refused to recuse herself in the historical matter before her and also gives herself a one-hour sentence in the stocks outside on the court house veranda.



After this ordeal in the 4th oldest town in Tasmania, Campbell Town – founded in May 1821, it's a viewing of tree sculptures, and then off to Port Arthur ... no Tasmanian Devil in sight, except on the road sign.



Mrs Amelia Aremia and Adelaide Institute Director, Mr Peter Hartung, with the Port Arthur penitentiary ruins in the background. On 28 April 1996 a massacre just a few metres from this spot shredded Australia's political

innocence. Various massacres and attacks occurred in the USA, to be followed by the big one, the 2001 9/11 tragedy, dubbed a terrorist attack by those who framed the official conspiracy theory, i.e. a group of Arabic-speaking terrorists attacked America. Thus was set the new global dialectic that pitted 'freedom and democracy' against those who 'hate America'. Of course, that such events, as is the case with the 'Holocaust', defy natural physical laws in their happenings is dismissed as 'conspiratorial hate talk' by those who have done everything possible to enshrine their version of events in law and thus excise it from questioning sceptics.

Anyone who visits Port Arthur will note the presence of a number of fishermen – from Hobart!

The following is an extract from a brief flyer handed to any tourist who dares ask questions as to what happened at Port Arthur on 28 April 1996:

"Please understand ... that for many people, including staff members at Port Arthur, answering questions about the events of 28 April 1996 can be very disturbing. We prefer not even to use the name of the person responsible. We want to explain to visitors what happened, but we also want to protect our people from distress. This account is intended to outline the facts with clarity and simplicity.

One Sunday in autumn

On the morning of Sunday 28 April 1996, a young Hobart man armed himself with three high-powered automatic firearms and a large quantity of ammunition, then drove to Port Arthur.

Just north of the township he entered the home of a local couple he knew. Inside, he shot and killed them both. He drove to the Historic Site and ate a meal on the deck of the Broad Arrow Café. He re-entered the café, which was crowded with lunchtime customers, took a rifle from his bag and began shooting. In the first 90 seconds, 20 people died and 12 were injured. The man then moved into the adjacent car park, where he shot and killed four more people and wounded a number of others.

After shooting indiscriminately at people in the grounds of the Historic Site, he got into his car and drove up the former main entrance road to the original toll booth. In this area, seven more people were killed in two separate

incidents, during which he stole a victim's car and abandoned his own.

The man then drove north. Outside the General Store he killed one person and took another hostage. He drove back to the house where the first killings had taken place, firing random shots at vehicles along the route and injuring a number of people.

At the house, the man set fire to the stolen car, then took his hostage inside. Through the afternoon and night, shots were fired at police officers on the scene. At some point during this time, the gunman killed the hostage. In the morning, he set fire to the house and was captured by police as he fled from the burning building.

The man was tried and convicted of 35 counts of murder. On each count he was sentenced to imprisonment for life, with no eligibility for parole.

The devastating events of that day at Port Arthur encouraged Australians to question our laws on the private ownership of automatic and semi-automatic firearms. A vigorous national debate was marked by strongly-held views on both sides. Eventually, State and Federal Governments passed new gun control laws that are among the strictest in the world. If you want to know more:

Email: rosalie.michalik@portarthur.org.au

Internet: www.portarthur.org.au

Adelaide Institute has not looked into the details of Port Arthur, instead relying on Mrs Olga Scully and Mr Andrew MacGregor, who have enquired into Mrs Wendy Scurr's account of what happened on that day, and on Joe Vialls' gathered information. Mrs Scurr is a witness to the event and some say Joe Vialls was also there, but not as a witness but as one of the operatives who directed the whole event – much like what happened when the insider job of 9/11 turned from being a mere exercise to one of going live. There is also a police training DVD available where the physical items in the café allegedly owned and touched by Martin Bryant are shown. But these items were never subjected to forensic testing. Why not? And it took Bryant about 17 psychiatric sessions before he confessed to the killings.

Such matters reveal the wider dimensions that fragile humanity cannot really fathom, but the concept that readily comes to mind is that this was 'an insider job'. When governments turn upon their own people, then that is a worry. As the Rev Farrakhan surmised about World War One's beginnings and bringing in the USA when the Lusitania was sunk, to start a war and have people "die for bullshit!". He means, of course, the global banking cabal that needs unrest and wars in order to have the military industrial complex produce the goods, whatever they be. Sometimes it's even spin-off for the ordinary suburban household thereby increasing consumer spending. Indeed, we in the free and democratic west are really free – it is our own personal choice that places most of us into financial bondage, making us slaves to international predatory capitalism. Thank God for Free Will!

During May 2010 Mrs Amelia Aremia takes a brief tour of Adelaide and a little beyond ...



... a seniors' moment travelling on Adelaide's new tram to the resort town of Glenelg.



L.-R.: A view from the Glenelg jetty, in the distance the Town Hall and to the right the beautiful Stamford Hotel; standing next to the classic model of the Holden car (General Motors, USA) in Rundle Mall, Adelaide City.



Mrs Aremia with Andrew sitting in a renovated restaurant tram, then off for an exclusive ride on ...



... a 100-year-old tram – fully restored...



From the tram museum to the River Torrens precinct where Parliament House meets the Railway Station-Casino to merge into the Convention Centre-Intercontinental Hotel complex to the below road-level Railway Station entrance ...



then upstairs into Adelaide's Casino, and back down into the former Hyatt Hotel, now the Intercontinental Hotel.

GOBBLE de GOOK from Jewish Nobel Prize Winner, Professor Elie Wiesel in the introduction to his book, *Legends of Our Time*, New York, 1982:

"Some events do take place but are not true; others are – although they never occurred."

The same thought-pattern from Australia's G.S.T. Legislation: Para 165-55: "The Commissioner may treat an

event that actually happened as not having happened; and treat an event that did not actually happen as having happened, and having involved action by a particular entity (whether or not the event actually involved any action by that entity)."

Question: Did Elie Wiesel write the G.S.T. Legislation?

Australian Broadcasting Corporation, 20/05/2010

John Clarke and Bryan Dawe calculate the cost of the European debt crisis.



KERRY O'BRIEN, PRESENTER: Time for John Clarke and Bryan Dawe with a few reflections on Europe's financial woes.

BRYAN DAWE: Your name is Roger yes?

JOHN CLARKE: Roger.

BRYAN DAWE: Ah, that's your name?

JOHN CLARKE: Roger.

BRYAN DAWE: Good. And what do you do Roger?

JOHN CLARKE: I'm a financial consultant.

BRYAN DAWE: Ah, financial consultant, eh?

JOHN CLARKE: Roger, yes.

BRYAN DAWE: Terrific and Roger how is business at the moment?

JOHN CLARKE: Not bad thank you. Been a bit quiet lately.

BRYAN DAWE: How do you mean lately?

JOHN CLARKE: Since the war. Been a bit quiet.

BRYAN DAWE: Fair enough. Okay, Roger your special subject tonight is the economies of the European community. Your time starts now. Best of luck.

JOHN CLARKE: Thank you.

BRYAN DAWE: How much does Greece owe, Roger?

JOHN CLARKE: \$367 billion.

BRYAN DAWE: Correct. And who do they owe it to?

JOHN CLARKE: Mostly to the other European economies.

BRYAN DAWE: Correct. How much does Ireland owe?

JOHN CLARKE: \$865 billion.

BRYAN DAWE: Correct. Who do they owe it to?

JOHN CLARKE: Other European economies mostly.

BRYAN DAWE: Correct. How much does Spain and Italy owe?

JOHN CLARKE: \$1 trillion each.

BRYAN DAWE: Correct. Who to?

JOHN CLARKE: Mainly France, Britain and Germany.

BRYAN DAWE: Correct. And how are Germany, France, Britain going Roger?

JOHN CLARKE: Well they're struggling a bit, aren't they?

BRYAN DAWE: Correct. Why?

JOHN CLARKE: Well 'cause they've lent all the vast amounts of money to other European economies that can't possibly pay them back.

BRYAN DAWE: Correct so what are they go to go have to do?

JOHN CLARKE: They're going to have to bail them out.

BRYAN DAWE: Correct. Where are they getting the money to do that Roger?

JOHN CLARKE: That is a good question. I don't know the answer to that one. (laughs)

BRYAN DAWE: How much does Portugal owe?

JOHN CLARKE: Hang on a minute, what was the answer to that earlier question?

BRYAN DAWE: Just keep answering the questions Roger. Where is Portugal going to get the money it owes to Germany if Germany can't get back the money that it lent to Italy?

JOHN CLARKE: Just a minute. What was the answer to the previous que-

The question was: How can broke economies lend money to other broke economies who haven't got any money because they can't pay back the money the broke economy lent to the other broke economy and shouldn't have lent it to them in the first place because the broke economy can't pay back?

BRYAN DAWE: You are wasting valuable time Roger. How much money does Spain owe to Italy?

JOHN CLARKE: \$41 billion. But where are they going to get it?

BRYAN DAWE: Correct. What does Italy owe to Spain?

JOHN CLARKE: \$27 billion but they haven't got it - they're broke.

BRYAN DAWE: Correct. How can they pay each other if neither of them has any money?

JOHN CLARKE: They're going to get a bailout, aren't they?

BRYAN DAWE: Correct. And where is the money coming from for the bailout?

JOHN CLARKE: That is what I'm asking you!

BRYAN DAWE: Correct. Why are people selling the European currency and buying the US dollar?

JOHN CLARKE: Because the US economy is so much stronger than the European economy.

BRYAN DAWE: Correct. Why is that Roger?

JOHN CLARKE: Because it's owned by China.

BRYAN DAWE: Correct and very well done! And after that round you've lost \$1 million.

JOHN CLARKE: I've lost \$1 million? I thought you said well done!

BRYAN DAWE: Yes well done - you've only lost \$1 million. That's an extraordinary performance Roger.

JOHN CLARKE: I've only lost \$1 million.

BRYAN DAWE: Very well done.

JOHN CLARKE: That's quite good is it?

BRYAN DAWE: Oh it's excellent.

JOHN CLARKE: Sell everything immediately. Quickly!

KERRY O'BRIEN: I think it's called laughing as you sink.

<http://www.abc.net.au/7.30/content/2010/s2905304.htm>

When Truth Meets Fiction, NPR, May 20, 2010

A Washington, D.C., theater is canceling its production of the play *Imagining Madoff* after objections from human rights activist and Holocaust survivor Elie Wiesel. The play revolves around a fictional meeting between the convicted Ponzi schemer and Wiesel. Wiesel wrote a letter to the playwright, calling her work obscene and defamatory, and saying he would have his lawyer stop the production. Robert Siegel talks to lawyer and Columbia Law School lecturer Richard Lehv about the legal basis for Wiesel's objection, and what rights a living person has when they are fictionalized.

ROBERT SIEGEL, host:

Now, an item about a collision between fiction and reality that concerns a play by Deb Margolin called "Imagining Madoff." It's a play about Bernard Madoff, the Wall Street swindler, and there were three characters in it: Madoff, Madoff's secretary and Elie Wiesel, the author and Nobel laureate who holds the unfortunate distinction of also being the most famous person who was fleeced by the real-life Madoff. But Margolin, the playwright, says she used the character of Wiesel as a paragon of decency and morality confronting the convicted Ponzi schemer. Wiesel read the script and evidently didn't see it that way. He objected to her use of him as a character. He called it defamatory and obscene, and he threatened to have his lawyer block a production of "Imagining Madoff" at Washington, D.C.'s Theater J.

The theater's artistic director suggested a rewrite without the Wiesel character. The playwright declined and the play was pulled.

All of which led us to wonder, would Mr. Wiesel have had a case? Are living individuals protected from fictional depictions of them in which they say things that they never in fact did say? Well, Richard Lehv is a lawyer in New York, who specializes in intellectual property rights and lectures in that field at Columbia University Law School. Welcome to the program.

Mr. RICHARD LEHV (Lawyer; Lecturer, Columbia University Law School): Nice to be here.

SIEGEL: And what do you think, if Mr. Wiesel had brought suit to try to enjoin the theater from staging the play, would he have stood a good chance in court?

Mr. LEHV: Well, in my view, it's a free country. You can make a public figure a character in a work of fiction. The best example of that recently was the movie "The Queen." There's all kinds of dialogue in that movie between Queen Elizabeth and Prince Philip that nobody knows whether it ever occurred.

There are some rules that limit your right to do this, but you can do it in general as long as you don't do two things. You can't use somebody's name or picture to sell a product, so you couldn't put Elie Wiesel's picture on a can of peas or on an advertisement for a can of peas. But the other thing you can't do is you can't defame somebody. You can't make false statements about the person that tend to damage their reputation.

So here we don't know if there are any false statements about Elie Wiesel, but we do know that this depicts a conversation that never occurred.

SIEGEL: I assume that if I were an editorial cartoonist and I'd drawn a cartoon of Bernard Madoff and Elie Wiesel having a conversation, you couldn't stop me from doing that.

Mr. LEHV: Probably not, but this is a lot more detailed and extensive. You know, in general, the First Amendment protects an author even if it's false, as long as the author doesn't know it's false or doesn't act with reckless disregard for whether it's true or false. Here, Wiesel has said, look, this never occurred.

So the question is if it's a false statement and she knows it's false, the playwright knows it false, does it tend to damage his reputation or does it tend to harm his feelings because some states have a tort called **False Light Invasion of Right of Publicity**. So the question is does it damage his reputation?

And I guess the argument he would make is, look, I was a victim of Bernie Madoff. I invested - my foundation invested money in the foundation. It was an arm's length transaction. I didn't know the man. This play makes it look like I'm his friend. I'm having late-night conversations with him. We're discussing things, which never happened.

My donors, who lost a lot of money, may think, hey, you never told us you were friends with Bernie Madoff. You never told us you were having these meetings with him, and that arguably could damage his reputation.

SIEGEL: In this case, ultimately Mr. Wiesel's letter was taken very seriously, so the response was a personal one to him in deference to his wishes. You're saying if this had been litigated down the line, very unlikely that he would have prevailed.

Mr. LEHV: He might have a claim. You never know what a court might do. There was a case in California involving Vanna White, who sued Samsung Electronics, which did an ad - it takes place in the future. It shows a robot wearing a blonde wig turning over cards like the cards they have in "Wheel of Fortune." And she said that's me. You're using my persona. And they said, no, no, it's a joke. It takes place in the future. It's obviously a robot.

And a lot of people thought she would have to lose that case. But believe it or not, she ultimately won. So you never know. And even if they don't win, the plaintiffs, if

they want to stick with it, they can carry on the litigation for many years, and litigation can be very expensive and time-consuming. So sometimes it's a judgment call to just say, look, we'll just change the play or withdraw it rather than go through very expensive litigation, even if it's a very small chance that we'll lose.

SIEGEL: Well, Mr. Lehv, thanks for the consult.

Mr. LEHV: You're quite welcome. My pleasure to be here.

SIEGEL: That's Richard Lehv, New York intellectual property rights lawyer.

The play, "Imagining Madoff," will be performed at another theater this summer at Stageworks Hudson in Hudson, New York. The character, the one originally called Elie Wiesel, has been renamed, for that production, Solomon Galkin.

<http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=127018737>



Assassination In Dubai, Israeli's Warning To The World:

Gordon Duff , STAFF WRITER /Senior Editor , May 15, 2010

When Israel sent 27 Mossad agents to a 5 star hotel in Dubai to murder Mahmoud al-Mabhouh, a minor Hamas figure, it had nothing to do with Hamas or Dubai. It was a warning to President Obama and anyone else that nobody is safe and no reason is small enough to not justify a killing if key interests are involved, money, drugs, power and, least of all, the security of Israel. Mahmoud is said to be an "arms smuggler" who is traveling the world to get weapons into Lebanon for Hamas. As Lebanon has an open border with Syria, the primary Hamas weapons source, the idea of smuggling is insane. Hamas orders weapons on the phone and they are driven over in trucks.

With historical researchers now putting the murders of President Kennedy and his brother Robert at the hands of Israel and moves to reopen the investigation of 9/11, an operation now increasingly showing the handprints of the Mossad at every juncture, the world's most active assassination squads now represent a threat to every national leader, politician, diplomat and even journalist that speaks up. The undercurrent of threats has circled the earth since the Dubai assassination, not a bungled Mossad operation at all, as Israel claims, but a slap in the face for Britain, Germany, Australia and the other countries whose passports were forged and a threat to President Obama that he faces something much more serious than a deluge of laundered Israeli cash opposing his next election.

Enemies of Israel used to be Muslims advocating aggressively for Palestine, many pushing for violence. Today it is reporters, business competitors or anyone whose death or economic destruction will enhance a scheme, push through a business deal or open a door for a "friend" to rise to influence. Weapons can be murder, financial ruin, mysterious illnesses, the deaths of children or friends, all easily attributed, using the control of the world's press, to "natural causes" of one kind or another. What the public doesn't know, others have known all along, know well. We call this "terrorism." Dubai was a reminder, like the Detroit "crotch bomber" and the "Time Square Fizzler."

Israel itself is a massive arms supplier and developer of security technologies. They control most of the world's airports, you know, the ones where terrorists on the "no fly

lists" move freely from country to country with impunity. A major industry in Israel has been to develop advanced technologies to prevent exactly the problems that happen under their noses every day. Who runs these companies? The massive defense industries in Israel, industries tied to arming South Africa during sanctions for apartheid, even helping South Africa develop nuclear weapons and now peddling German built submarines to North Korea are run by members of the Mossad and IDF. This is allowed by Israeli law.

Since the 1973 war, Israel has never suffered a serious threat but has been involved in minor "low intensity conflicts" related to its attempt to convince 5 million Palestinian citizens of Israel to evaporate or die. Israel's stated goal is to force other Middle Eastern countries to take on their entire Islamic population as refugees and replace them with "guest workers" from Thailand, the Philippines and other nations where drastically lower wages can turn Israel into a state much like Kuwait or Saudi Arabia. Instead of paying "slave labor" with petro-dollars, US foreign aid will pick up the bill, this and a massive arms industry.

With "bulletproof" extradition laws protecting financial criminals, banksters from around the world flock to Israel to spend their declining years in safety and luxury, waited on hand and foot. The lives and safety of these workers is a concern as "the only democracy in the Middle East" is more secretive and controlling than Stalin's Russia.

PUTTING A TARGET ON PRESIDENT OBAMA

Mitchell Bard, head of AICE, the America Israeli Cooperative Enterprise in his recent article, "Israel's Apocalypse" warns of an imaginary future in which President Obama works to destroy Israel:

In 2012, Obama is narrowly reelected. He is angered by the defection of Jewish voters disenchanted with his Middle East policy. Unfettered by electoral concerns, he is prepared to use his remaining years to consolidate the relationships he has built with Arab states and further reassure the Muslim world of America's friendship.

After delaying their intended 2011 announcement, the Palestinians declare independence and ask the world to

recognize Palestine and to force Israel to allow the establishment of its capital in Jerusalem. Israel protests and says that it will no longer honor its past agreements with the Palestinians. It closes its borders and reasserts the unity of Jerusalem.

Every country at the UN except Micronesia recognizes Palestine. Having pledged in 2010 to facilitate the establishment of a Palestinian state within two years, and frustrated with the failure of his peace initiative and furious with what he views as Israel's intransigence, Obama announces U.S. recognition of Palestine. The General Assembly admits Palestine as a member.

The United States demands that Israel reopen borders and enter negotiations on the division of Jerusalem.

Meanwhile, Iran announces it has built its first nuclear weapons. As many had forecast, sanctions proved useless and Obama was unwilling to use military force to stop Iran's program. Israel is warned that any attack on Iran will be opposed by all means necessary to protect American interests in the region.

The Saudis declare that they will use all their resources to ensure the Arab states have a deterrent capability. The Arab League and the United States begin furious negotiations to head off a nuclear arms race in the region and reach an agreement with Iran to dismantle its nuclear program in exchange for Israel doing the same. Israel rejects the idea.

The United States votes with the other Security Council members to impose sanctions on Israel if it does not open its nuclear facilities to inspectors and agree to destroy its weapons. A second resolution calls for additional sanctions if Israel does not open border crossings to Palestine and agree to withdraw from East Jerusalem and to allow Palestinian control over the Temple Mount. Israel defiantly rejects both resolutions.

Following the Europeans' lead, the Obama administration announces a trade embargo against Israel and also declares a cutoff of all military aid and cooperation until Israel satisfies UN demands. The pro-Israel community expresses "concern" and asks members of Congress to oppose the administration policy. A handful of Republicans protest, but the Democratic majority is unwilling to challenge the president's foreign policy beyond signing a letter expressing America's continued commitment to Israel's security. Israeli leftists and American Jewish progressives applaud the administration and say Israel needs "tough love" to save it from itself.

Obama tells the press the Israeli lobby is jeopardizing U.S. interests. Administration officials quietly warn Jewish leaders if they continue to criticize the president he will go on prime time television and tell the nation that Israel and its supporters are endangering American soldiers fighting in the Middle East and undermining national security. Only a handful of Jewish gadflies continue to speak out against Obama's policy.

Before the year is out, Israel capitulates. It recognizes Palestine, agrees to divide Jerusalem and begins the process of dismantling its nuclear arsenal.

This fanciful scenario has one purpose. It is a message to the president that standing up to Israeli abuses and Israeli plots can lead to one thing, destruction. The language is clear, Israel sees President Obama as a "clear and present danger" to their plans to dominate the Middle East and grow rich on the sinking America and Europe into hopeless debt

by their control of the Federal Reserve and key banking institutions.

None of Bard's claims are rational or real. In itself, his admission of Israel's nuclear arsenal forces the United States to institute immediate sanctions today as required by International Law and UN Resolutions. Nobody sees America doing this.

FORCING OBAMA TO HELP WITH

ISRAEL'S COVER-UP OF 9/11

The unraveling of 9/11 from an "Arab plot" to an Israeli/Mossad "false flag" attack on the United States is the biggest threat to Israel. Every day one issue or another involving 9/11 from the "dancing Israeli's," Mossad operatives filming the attacks with advance notice to the phony "art students" in the WTC to the horrific admission that Building 7 was "pulled" are some of hundreds, even thousands of facts that stamp "Mossad" on 9/11.

Of late, despite taking a strong stand against Israeli pressure, President Obama has repeatedly asked for powers to control "conspiracy oriented" news stories on the internet and elsewhere. There is only one conspiracy, 9/11, and the victim was the United States. 9/11 was always a conspiracy, one that 7 years of public information and a full debunking and rescinding of the 9/11 Commission Report has put at the door of Israel and a group of American traitors in government, oil, banking, defense and the media. Yes, the media, you can't say that enough times.

There is one unspoken subject in Washington and that is 9/11. The Republican party, on a daily basis, does and says almost anything imaginable. Things once whispered in backrooms are now shouted in the halls of congress with all decorum disappearing when the obscene profits of America's medical monopoly came under threat. Not one of the 447 members of congress who signed letters in "blind obedience" to Israel responded to members of the 9/11 Commission when they asked for a criminal investigation against government officials who perjured themselves, falsified documents and withheld vital information. Call this the coincidence of a lifetime.

THE POLITICS OF MURDER

With 447 members of congress, every single one of them swearing they know nothing of Israel's enormous nuclear arsenal, vowing eternal allegiance to Israel over the United States and virtual control of newspapers, electronic news media, thousand of internet news sites, movies, magazines and television shows, the ability to spin anything from assassination to mass murder into a "poor threatened Israel" yarn has endangered the life of every public figure in the world who stands up for their beliefs.

447 members of congress led by Senators Charles Schumer and Lindsay Graham along with Josef Lieberman and "Hanoi John" McCain are not among them.

Maybe they poison your dog because you didn't vote for an appropriation that would have lined the pockets of an Israeli contractor. Maybe you asked a wrong question at the wrong time and your car was stolen or one of your children was beaten up. Never speak up and fly in a private plane. That has been a death sentence for many.

This is the Dubai message, reinforcing years of behind the scenes terrorism, things as small as a denied mortgage or big as an airliner flying into a skyscraper. For every lie on TV or in a newspaper there is an act of brutal thuggery somewhere. For every free trip to Israel and a young boy in underwear waiting in a hotel room, there is a rock through a window. To any Jew who stands up for what is right,

there is a burned Synagogue and an anti-Semitic slogan painted on a wall.

Dubai was a reminder, a reminder to keep a lid on 9/11, a reminder to keep a lid on Detroit and a reminder to keep

arresting Pakistanis over the "Times Square Fizzler" just as was arranged beforehand.

<http://www.veteranstoday.com/2010/05/15/gordon-duff-assassination-in-dubai-israelis-warning-to-the-world/>

Restoring Jewish Homeland Means Turning Jerusalem into "Miami Beach"

Gordon Duff, May 19, 2010,

The onslaught from the south, started by the Cubans escaping Castro, destroyed 7 decades of Jewish tradition in South Florida, centered around the holy city of Miami Beach. The grand traditions, Arthur Godfrey, Yiddish Theatre, delicatessens, synagogues, Temple House, all gone, disappear as "Little Jerusalem" or as it was sometimes known as, Shtetl by the Sea sunk under waves of immigration. Retirement for tens of thousands, vacations for more, maybe millions, a community that eventually spread as far north as Ft. Pierce and Vero Beach came to represent the real homeland of the Jews as much as Brooklyn.

First Wolfies at Collins and Lincoln fell. Now Wolfie Cohen's Rascal House in Sunny Isles is gone and with it, an irreplaceable Jewish tradition and free pickles too. Anyone who hasn't had a corned beef sandwich at the Rascal House hasn't lived. What used to be a drive down I-95 from New York is now replaced by the real Exodus, resettlement in Jerusalem, the one in Palestine in the country we call Israel, where every condo costs a life and every acre is paid for by the sacrifices of over 200,000 American troops and the financial ruin of what was meant to be the world's great democracy. Is the nation of Israel little more than a cheap imitation of Miami Beach? When Flagler came down to Florida, 5 million Palestinians hadn't been living there for hundreds, even thousands of years. Is the entire world being turned upside down to provide condominiums for mahjong tournaments? Well, actually yes. Who do you think is going to live in those new settlements? Did you think there was a mysterious Jewish population somewhere in Denmark, all young, adventurous and waiting to man the trenches at night and hoe vegetables all day in the desert? Knock on a door. You will find a furniture store owner from Shaker Heights. You might ask, "For this we bulldoze people's houses, to live in a hotzeplotz like this? Has everyone gone meshuga? We don't know a khnyok from a schlemiel anymore?" What we have lost with the decline of Yiddish, a language that makes one understood like no other.

We could do comedy routines about Israel and the Palestinians forever, there was once a time when comedy was what kept us all alive. But something happened. It stopped being funny. Fooling the goyim never took all that much. They used to be schmucks. Now they aren't even that, a government of schvitzers running a nation of schnooks. It can all continue to be rocks and rockets and tanks and bulldozers but, in the end, it is going to come down to what is right and wrong. Nobody can change that part, no matter how many phony news stories, no matter how clever the Mossad or how many sayanim are in congress or the Pentagon.

With all the noise, it was never really about Israel at all. It began as the great thirst for oil and the need to keep the Soviet Union away from warm water ports. Iran is now supposed to be a great satan, a nation of deceivers building nuclear weapons in their basements. When Iran elected a free government, a government that wasn't as considerate

to British Petroleum as it could be, the CIA started blowing up buses and markets, false flag attacks to install a vicious dictatorship under the Shah. You think people see that and don't learn how to play the game? If America can do it, the "land of the free and home of the brave," anyone can and does and does and does.

When the west came into Kuwait to push out Iraq, if anything Saddam's Iraq, the country we called a "nightmare" was a bastion of democracy compared to Kuwait, a primitive slave state colored to look like a bastion of personal freedom. When Israel isn't screaming "holocaust" and "anti-Semite" they are, very successfully, pointing out the illegitimate governments surrounding them. What they don't say, of course, is how the corruption they point out to us is used by them. Israeli influence throughout the Islamic world is one of the greatest secrets of our age. What we see Israel do in the United States through AIPAC, Fox News, Hollywood and more, they do in Saudi Arabia, Turkey, Jordan and half a dozen countries, some you would never imagine, not even close.

Should America be in the Middle East at all? Are they there for oil, drugs, terrorism or to secure Israel? Take a look at the war in Afghanistan, the "flavor of the week" conflict. Are there war goals? To the sane and informed the cover story about terrorist training camps fell apart a long time ago. America is fighting the Mujahideen forces it organized and trained 30 years ago, or a version of them, with so many of the exact same names and faces, older, beards turned white and maybe, just maybe a bit smarter than before.

Then again, there was 9/11, the great "watershed" of terrorism. Last week, Dr. Hans Koechler of Austria, one of the EU's most conservative policy theorists raised the question of the mysterious Building 7 and how that one thing, out of so many, destroys the "house of cards" that made 9/11 a terrorist attack. The brilliant Koechler put his two paragraphs, sans references to Mossad or "false flag" as such things are a crime in Austria, in the middle of a policy paper on global realignments. What does this mean? It is simple, "conspiracy theory" can now be defined as anyone pushing the old Bush era cover story about Osama bin Laden.

A friend sent me an email today about a recent episode of the hit TV series Numbers. For those unfamiliar with the series, it is about a Jewish family in San Francisco, a father and two sons, one a famous mathematician and the other an FBI agent. The family is Jewish by religion, lightly so, very mainstream American and quite admirable. Seeing a storyline about Pakistani terrorists trying to shoot down airliners with missiles is the problem. The series had built credibility, yes, fictional characters can be credible. Numbers always valued fairness, real Americanism, you could even call it "liberal ideals" though the term "liberal" makes me cringe because of the continual misuse. It reminded me of 2003 when Colin Powell, "Mr. Credibility" was sent to the United Nations with proof of weapons of mass destruction. Every word Powell repeated was a

blatant lie, destroying his manhood and honor forever in the eyes of the world and justifying an invasion that has been a disaster for the United States.

Back in Israel, homes are being plowed under, not fancy homes but those of working people, farmers, tradesmen, Muslims all. If enough people die, and so many have, we can call this a holocaust. It is no stretch of the imagination to call it ethnic cleansing. Moving into the new homes will be Jews, many American citizens, most kind and generous people who have spent most of their lives caring for their families, employees and their country, one some seem to forget, some but not all. We call that country the United States and those loyalties should last a lifetime, perhaps longer for some of us. Palestinians are defiant. Palestinians are angry. Many Palestinians are violent.

Are they right to be that way? Fiction, fiction like Numbers, fiction like Colin Powell, fiction like Sean Hannity, fiction, not so innocent fiction has stolen the ability of any, Jew or

not, to make a moral decision on this issue. You can take nothing more important from a man than his ability to know right from wrong. The most that can be asked, in a world where looking away has become easy, is to never know the truth, to die deceived. Is this what it means to be a Jew?

<http://www.veteranstoday.com/2010/05/15/gordon-duff-assassination-in-dubai-israelis-warning-to-the-world/>
About: Gordon Duff Email : gpduf@aol.com

Gordon Duff is a Marine Vietnam veteran, grunt and 100% disabled vet. He has been a featured commentator on TV and radio including Al Jazeera and his articles have been carried by news services around the world. He has been a UN Diplomat, defense contractor and is a widely published expert on military and defense issues. He is active in the financial industry and is a specialist on global trade. Gordon Duff acts as political and economic advisor to a number of governments in Africa and the Middle East. Gordon Duff is currently working on economic development projects in Pakistan and Afghanistan to counter the effects of poverty and global extremism.

The advantages of EJEW membership.- Israelis' shortcut to a second (EU) passport Notes from the Field, May 24, 2010, Reporting From: Chicago, IL, USA

Are you Jewish? Do you want to be? If so, you're entitled to an Israeli passport. In Israel, the "Law of Return" provides means for all Jews, and individuals of Jewish ancestry, to acquire Israeli residency and citizenship. Israeli law defines Jewish ancestry as having at least one Jewish parent or grandparent.

In the event that you don't fit the ancestry definition, the law also provides the 'right of return' to all converted Jews of all denominations, and the conversion need not take place in Israel in order for it to qualify.

In either case, the onus is on the applicant to provide adequate documentation proving either Jewish ancestry or conversion to Judaism... the Israeli authorities won't just take your word for it, they will check.

Additionally, the Israeli government will conduct a brief background investigation to determine if the applicant is a fugitive, convicted violent criminal, or known associate of any enemy of the state.

Once accepted, the applicant is entitled to immediate citizenship. For the first year, a temporary travel document is issued in lieu of a passport. After the first year, the government issues a standard passport.

Israeli citizenship carries many advantages including visa free travel to the European Union and extended visa quotas for the United States. Moreover, Israeli citizens who obtain residency in certain EU countries have reduced requirements to eventually obtain EU citizenship.

Additionally, in 2008, the Israeli government passed several new tax incentives that apply specifically for new immigrants under the Law of Return. The new tax rules include a 10-year holiday on foreign source income and tax exemptions for foreign-based companies owned by new immigrants.

As you can imagine, though, the disadvantages of Israeli citizenship are significant. All males must serve in the Israeli Defense Force for three years after age 18, and remain as a reservist until his mid-40s. There are exemptions made, however, on grounds of religious or philosophical objection.

Furthermore, Israeli citizens find themselves a target, perhaps even more often than US citizens. The State of Israel is still not recognized in some parts of the world-- if you have ever been to Saudi Arabia and looked at a map of the Middle East, the boundaries of Israel do not even exist on the map!

All in all, while I'm not advocating that anyone change his/her religion specifically to obtain a passport, I think that Israeli citizenship may be among the fastest, most cost effective citizenships to acquire if you have no other means available.

If you're interested in getting started, the best place to get answers is your nearest Israeli consulate; they're accustomed to fielding these questions all day long. Until tomorrow,

Simon Black, Senior Editor, www.SovereignMan.com



A Tasmanian love story: Muffy, right, and partner ...